4th Natura 2000 Boreal Seminar
Helsinki, Finland

Natura 2000 Biogeographical Process

Protected area prioritization in the context of climate change

Discussion

October 12th

- **Heini Kujala** - Finnish Natural History Museum / University of Helsinki

  Accounting for climate change

- **Santtu Kareksela** - Parks & Wildlife Finland (Metsähallitus)

  Building a Climate Change adaptation strategy for the PA network in Finland

The aim of the discussion:

- Share information, ideas, best practice!

- Which approaches work best in adapting to climate change: examples on analysis, strategies and projects from Boreal MS?

- Is further action needed for particular habitats or species groups, and if yes, how?

- What input NaturaConnect wish from us and how MS see possibilities of cooperation with them?

- Climate adaption – different strategies on conservation, commercial forestry, more realistic approach is needed e.g. seedbank for native seeds;
- Modelling – adaptive managing, remote sensing to acheive best management;
- Prioritization on habitats that should be restored;
- Best approach is to reduce other pressures to give time to adapt;
- We can't tackle protected areas – we should concentrate on broader landscapes;
- Uncertainty;
- Network is static, countries need advice where to put effort and make more cost-effective, sensitivity is not well known - to know radical need for action
- MS do not know what capacity NaturaConnect have

Input by NaturaConnect and from MS

Natura 2000 Biogeographical Process

- MS need more information about available solutions, but they need to start acting;

- Co-operation – to make information public by Interactive online platform;

- Different scenarios- options for handling, restoring – protect, restore;

- Need wider collaboration in monitoring, identifying change.